UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/849,991	05/20/2004	Rocco Burgo	I0113-38U1	8754
54380 7590 02/24/2009 FLASTER/GREENBERG P.C. 8 PENN CENTER 1628 JOHN F. KENNEDY BLVD. 15TH FLOOR PHILADELPHIA, PA 19103			EXAMINER	
			CHANNAVAJJALA, LAKSHMI SARADA	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1611	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			02/24/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/849,991	BURGO, ROCCO	
Examiner	Art Unit	

"	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address	
THE REPLY FILED 03 February 2009 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:	
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the final rejection. b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	
NOTICE OF APPEAL	
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on <u>03 February 2009</u> . A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a). AMENDMENTS	
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below); (c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for	
appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)). 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324).	
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):	
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) will not be entered, or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1-14. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:	
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE	
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but before or on the date of filing a Notice of Appeal will <u>not</u> be entered because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and sufficient reasons why the affidavit or other evidence is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1).	
10. 🗌 The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached.	
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:	
See Continuation Sheet.	
12. Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s) 13. Other:	
/Lakshmi S Channavajjala/	
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1611	

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicants' arguments filed on 2-3-09 have been considered but not found persuasive. Applicants argue that none of the polyesters of Sigfried '961 read on the instant polyesters of formula I. However, '961 teaches that the polyester is a reaction product of at least one linear or branced chain aliphatic dihydric compound having two OH groups ie., at least one diol and at least one linear or branced aliphatic dicarboxylic acid (col. 3, L 45-55) and the carbon atoms in the acid and alcohol chains range from 2-20 carbon atoms, which includes the claimed 3-6 carbon atoms. Thus, in contrast to the argument, the compounds of '961 meet the compounds of formula I. '961 teach sunscreen formulation and hence meet instant personal care formulations. Applicants argue that '961 fails to identify the compounds as replacement for silicone fluids of low viscosity. However, instant claim 9 only recites the "replacement" in the preamble. A preamble is generally not accorded any patentable weight where it merely recites the purpose of a process or the intended use of a structure, and where the body of the claim does not depend on the preamble for completeness but, instead, the process steps or structural limitations are able to stand alone. The argument that because '961 is silent with respect to silicone fluid it is not sufficient to meet the limitation i.e., "does not contain silicone fluid" is not persuasive because instant claims only recite that the composition should not contain silicone fluid and '961 compositions also lack the silicone fluids. Applicanst have not shown how the lack of silicone fluid in the instant claims is different from the lack of silicone fluid of '961 reference. Applicants' arguments that a person of ordinary skill in the art would have no reason to modify the teachings of either of Siegfrieds to arrive at the claimed inventions 4 and 12 is not persusive because the structural formula I in col. 5 suggests and reads on the in the instant formula I when the R2 of the patent is an aliphatic of C2-C10 carbon atoms.